

Creating and Using Performance Assessments: An Online Course for Practitioners

Regional Educational Laboratory Northeast & Islands

At Education Development Center, Inc.

REL 2021-048
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

A Publication of the National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance at IES



Creating and Using Performance Assessments: An Online Course for Practitioners

Developed by Jessica Bailey, Jill Marcus, Nancy Gerzon, and Heidi Early-Hersey

November 2020

This self-paced online course provides educators with detailed information on creating and using performance assessments. Through five 30-minute modules, practitioners, instructional leaders, and administrators will learn the foundational concepts of assessment literacy and how to develop, score, and use performance assessments. They will also learn about the role of performance assessment within a comprehensive assessment system.

CREATING AND USING PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENTS

This course provides guidance to help practitioners, instructional leaders, and administrators develop a performance assessment appropriate to their needs. Performance assessments are assessments that require students to craft their own responses to problems by constructing an answer, producing a product, or performing an activity (Parsi & Darling-Hammond, 2015).

Research has shown that performance assessments can produce positive instructional changes in classrooms (Koretz et al., 1996) and improve teaching (Darling-Hammond & Wood, 2008). In particular, performance assessments can support teachers in developing strategies to deepen students' critical thinking and problem-solving (Faxon-Mills et al., 2013). Their use is also associated with student skill development, student engagement, and postsecondary success (Foote, 2005) and with stronger understanding of complex concepts (Chung & Baker, 2003). Performance assessments ideally take place within a comprehensive, balanced assessment system that includes a variety of instruments and processes to produce information and data for stakeholders (Gong, 2010).

Through the course's five modules, which are based on seminal and current research on assessment literacy, performance assessment, and balanced assessment systems, users will:

- Increase their proficiency in basic concepts of assessment literacy.
- Increase their understanding of performance assessment, including the purpose, application, scoring process, and use of results.
- Become familiar with performance assessment strategies, including how to engage students in development and scoring processes and how to facilitate collaboration among teachers developing and scoring performance assessments.
- Learn how to develop high-quality performance assessments and scoring rubrics that are aligned to standards and performance outcomes.
- Understand the role of performance assessment within a comprehensive assessment system.

The course provides several tools to help users develop their own performance assessment, including a Performance Assessment Development Template and a Performance Assessment Quality and Validation Review Tool. Users who fill out the Performance Assessment Development Template during the course will end up with a complete, high-quality performance assessment.

The course also includes downloadable readings, writing tasks, quizzes, and reflection activities to further users' understanding of the material.

Users can access the course at <https://ies.ed.gov/ncee/edlabs/regions/northeast/onlinetraining/>.

Module 1: Introduction to Performance Assessment

This module prepares users to define performance assessment, to articulate the main reasons for using performance assessments, to know when and how to use performance assessments, and to identify the relationship of performance assessment to standards and performance outcomes.

Module 2: Developing a Performance Assessment—Part 1

This module leads users through the first steps and considerations in developing a performance assessment: identifying an assessment's population, specifying the assessment's purpose, unpacking standards, and creating performance outcomes. The module also addresses equity considerations, such as differentiation, fairness, and bias. Users also have the opportunity to begin creating their own performance assessment.

Module 3: Developing a Performance Assessment—Part 2

This module continues the process of developing a performance assessment introduced in Module 2 and covers how to design and prepare a performance assessment, including how to create performance tasks. It provides an overview of strategies for engaging students in developing a performance assessment and explores assessment preparation and administration. The module concludes with a discussion of validity and reliability and their importance in developing a high-quality performance assessment.

Module 4: Developing a Performance Assessment—Part 3

This module discusses how to create a rubric and engage students in that process and how to use the rubric to evaluate student work. The module also describes how to evaluate a performance assessment for quality and how to conduct a validity check.

Module 5: Performance Assessment—The Big Picture and Next Steps

This module closes out the course by framing performance assessment within the larger context of assessment systems and discussing the role of performance assessment in a comprehensive assessment system. The module explores the types of evidence users can collect from performance assessments and offers ideas for how students, teachers, and school leaders can use the results.

REFERENCES

Banks, K. (2012). Are inferential reading items more susceptible to cultural bias than literal reading items? *Applied Measurement in Education*, 25(3), 220–245. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08957347.2012.687610>.

Chatterji, M. (2003). *Designing and using tools for educational assessment*. Pearson.

Chung, G. K., & Baker, E. L. (2003). An exploratory study to examine the feasibility of measuring problem-solving processes using a click-through interface. *Journal of Technology, Learning and Assessment*, 2(2).

Conley, D. T. (2007). *Toward a more comprehensive conception of college readiness*. Educational Policy Improvement Center.

Costa, A., & Kallick, B. (2009). *Habits of mind across the curriculum: Practical and creative strategies for teachers*. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

Council of Chief State School Officers [CCSSO]. (2010). *Common Core State Standards*. National Governors Association Center for Best Practices & CCSSO.

Darling-Hammond, L., & Wood, G. (2008). *Assessment for the 21st century: Using performance assessments to measure student learning more effectively*. The Forum for Education and Democracy.

Faxon-Mills, S., Hamilton, L. S., Rudnick, M., & Stecher, B. M. (2013). *New assessments, better instruction? Designing assessment systems to promote instructional improvement*. RAND Corporation. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED561199>.

Foote, C. J. (2005). The challenge and potential of high-need urban education. *Journal of Negro Education*, 74(4), 371–381. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ764599>.

Freedle, R. O. (2003). Correcting the SAT's ethnic and social class bias: A method for reestimating SAT scores. *Harvard Educational Review*, 73(1), 1–43.

Gong, B., (2010). *Using balanced assessment systems to improve student learning and school capacity: An introduction*. Council of Chief State School Officers and Renaissance Learning. Retrieved August 21, 2017, from: <http://www.ccsso.org/Documents/Balanced%20Assessment%20Systems%20GONG.pdf>.

Herman, J. L. (2010). *Coherence: Key to next generation assessment success (AACC report)*. University of California. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED524221>.

Kim, Y., & Yazdian, L. S. (2014). Portfolio assessment and quality teaching. *Theory Into Practice*, 53(3), 220–227. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00405841.2014.916965>.

Koretz, D., Mitchell, K., Barron, S., & Keith, S. (1996). *The perceived effects of the Maryland school performance assessment program*. University of California, Los Angeles, Center for Research on Evaluation, Standards, and Student Assessment.

McKown, C., & Weinstein, R. S. (2008). Teacher expectations, classroom context, and the achievement gap. *Journal of School Psychology*, 46(3), 235–261.

McTighe, J. (2018). Three key questions on measuring learning. *Educational Leadership*, 75(5). <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1170083>.

National Research Council. (2001). *Knowing what students know: The science and design of educational assessment*. National Academy of Sciences.

National Research Council. (2011). *Assessing 21st century skills: Summary of a workshop*. The National Academies Press.

National Task Force on Assessment Education for Teachers. (2015). *Assessment literacy defined*. <https://assessmentliteracy.org/wp-content/uploads/Assessment-Literacy-Definition.pdf>.

Parsi, A., & Darling-Hammond, L. (2015). *Performance assessments: How state policy can advance assessments for 21st century learning*. White Paper. National Association of State Boards of Education. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED562629>.

Perie, M., Marion, S., & Gong, B. (2009). Moving toward a comprehensive assessment system: A framework for considering interim assessments. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, 28(3), 5–13. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ853799>.

Qi, C. H., & Marley, S. C. (2009). Differential item functioning analysis of the Preschool Language Scale-4 between English-speaking Hispanic and European American children from low-income families. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education*, 29(3), 171–180. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0271121409332674>.

Qi, C. H., & Marley, S. C. (2011). Validity study of the Preschool Language Scale-4 with English-speaking Hispanic and European American children in Head Start programs. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education*, 31(2), 89–98. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0271121410391108>.

Ramsey, P. A. (1993). Sensitivity review: The ETS Experience as a Case Study. In P. W. Holland & H. Wainer (Eds.), *Differential item functioning* (pp. 367–389). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Solano-Flores, G., & Nelson-Barber, S. (2001). On the cultural validity of science assessments. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 38(5), 553–573. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.1018>.

Tenenbaum, H. R., & Ruck, M. D. (2007). Are teachers' expectations different for racial minority than for European American students? A meta-analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 99(2), 253–273. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ766318>.

Vander Ark, T., & Ryerse, M. (2018, August 31). *Better formative feedback: Work worth doing in a place worth being* [Web log post]. Retrieved March 1, 2018, from http://blogs.edweek.org/edweek/on_innovation/2017/08/better_formative_feedback_work_worth_doing_in_a_place_worth_being.html.

Wiggins, G. (1996). Practicing what we preach in designing authentic assessments. *Educational Leadership*, 54(4), 1–5. Retrieved March 1, 2018, from <http://www.ascd.org/publications/educational-leadership/dec96/vol54/num04/Practicing-What-We-Preach-in-Designing-Authentic-Assessments.aspx>

Wiggins, G., & McTighe, J. (1998). *Understanding by design*. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.

REL 2021-048

November 2020

This report was prepared for the Institute of Education Sciences (IES) under Contract ED-IES-17-C-0008 by the Regional Educational Laboratory Northeast & Islands administered by Education Development Center. The content of the publication does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of IES or the U.S. Department of Education, nor does mention of trade names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

This REL report is in the public domain. While permission to reprint this publication is not necessary, it should be cited as:

Bailey, J., Marcus, J., Gerzon, N., & Early-Hersey, H. (2020). *Creating and using performance assessments: An online course for practitioners* (REL 2021-048). U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Evaluation and Regional Assistance, Regional Educational Laboratory Northeast & Islands. <http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/edlabs>.

This resource is available on the Regional Educational Laboratory website at <http://ies.ed.gov/ncee/edlabs>.